UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER ·

08/09/2007

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/005,252 12/03/2001 Hawley K. Rising III 080398.P427 9370 8791 7590 08/09/2007 **EXAMINER BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN** NGUYEN, HUY THANH 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2621 MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
-		10/005,252	RISING ET AL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		HUY T. NGUYEN	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	lay 2007.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
2) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F	ate	
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-15,17,20-34 and 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sekiguchi et al (6,665,442).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 21,23,23,30-31 and 37-41, Sekiguchi discloses a method for processing descriptions of audiovisual content (column 39, line 40 to column 40,line 25), the method comprising:

creating a first description of audiovisual content:

defining information pertaining to reuse of the first description; and storing the first description and the information pertaining to reuse of the first description in a repository of descriptive data to enable subsequent reuse of the first description (column 44).

Sekiguchi further teaches that the description that described a concept depicted in an existing audio visual content since Sekuguchi teaches that the description comprise names and have different concepts for different users (column 17, lines 30-45, column

Application/Control Number: 10/005,252

Art Unit: 2621

40, lines 1-20) and the second description that described a similar concept depicted in the new audio visual content since the second description derived from the first description.

Regarding claims 2, 20,24 and 32, Sekiguchi teaches the method of claim I wherein the first description is a semantic description (column 4, lines 1-50).

Regarding claims 3, 11,25 and 33, Sekiguchi teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the first description is a description scheme column 39, lines 40-50).

Regarding claims 4, 12,16 and 34 Sekiguchi further teaches the method of claim I wherein the information pertaining to reuse of the first description indicates whether the first description can be embedded into a second description of audiovisual content without changing an intended meaning of the first description (column 39, lines 50-65).

Regarding claims 5 and 27, Sekiguchi further teaches the method of claim I wherein the information pertaining to reuse of the first description indicates whether the first description can be divided into a plurality of partial descriptions, each of the plurality of partial descriptions being suitable for subsequent reuse (column 40, lines 10-25).

Regarding claims 6 and 28, Sekiguchi further teaches the method of claim I wherein the information pertaining to reuse of the first description indicates whether the first description can be transformed when reused to create a second description of audiovisual content (column 39, lines 50-65).

Application/Control Number: 10/005,252

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claims 7 and 29, Sekiguchi further teaches the method of claim I wherein the information pertaining to reuse of the first description indicates whether the first description can maintain transitive capability if the first description is reused to create a second description of audiovisual content (column 39, lines 40-65)

Regarding claims 8 and 13, Sekiguchi further teaches the method of claim I further comprising: reusing a plurality of descriptions stored in one or more repositories of

descriptive data a number of times to provide de facto standardization of the plurality of descriptions by category (column 40, lines 5-25).

Regarding claim 9, Sekiguchi discloses a method for reusing descriptions of audiovisual content (column 39,,lines 28 to column 4, lines 25, column 44), the method comprising:

finding existing descriptive data that should be included in a new description of audiovisual content;

analyzing reuse information associated with the descriptive data; and creating the new description using the existing descriptive data and the associated reuse information (column 39, line 60 to column 40, line 25).

Regarding claim 10, Sekiguchi further teaches the new description is a semantic description (column 43, lines 5-25).

Regarding claim 11, Sekiguchi further teaches the new description is a description scheme.

scheme (column 43, lines 4-25).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 12, Sekiguchi further teaches the descriptive data is at least a portion of one or more existing descriptions of audiovisual content. (column 43, line 5-20).

Regarding claim 13, Sekiguchi further teaches retrieving the descriptive data from one or more repositories of descriptive data (Fig. 10).

Regarding claim 14,. Sekiguchi teaches the method of claim 9 wherein creating the new description further comprises:

converting the existing descriptive data into a partial description; and mapping the partial description to the new description (column 39, lines 60-64).

Regarding claim 15, Sekiguchi teaches the method of claim 9 wherein creating the new description further

comprises:

accessing a portion of the existing descriptive data in a repository of descriptive data; and

mapping the portion of the existing descriptive data to the new description (column 39 lines 50-68).

Regarding claim 17, Sekiguchi further teaches the method of claim 9 wherein creating the new description further comprises:

including a reference to the existing descriptive data into the new description (column 39, lines 60-64).

Art Unit: 2621

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 16,18-19 and 35-36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sekiguchi et al in view of Official Notice

Regarding claims 16, 18-19 and 35-36, Sekiguchi fails to teach using dictionary mapping of object in the description for the description, mechanism for performing graph operations and the new description is created using an object oriented inheritance mechanism. However, it is noted that using dictionary mapping., mechanism for performing graph operations and the description is created using a object oriented inheritance graph is well known in the art. See specification page 21 of the present application. Therefore official notice is taken and it would it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Sekiguchi by using dictionary

Art Unit: 2621

mapping object, mechanism for performing graph operations and object oriented inheritance graph for the description as alternative method for creating the description.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 17 May 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Sekiguchi does not description that describes concept of the audio visual content. In response the examine disagrees. It is noted that at column 40, lines 1-20, Sekiguchi teaches the description that describes the concept of the audio visual content since the description is used by different users having different concepts.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 8

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H.N

